

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,123	12/06/2001	Laurent Colantonio	DN2001202USA	8043	
7590 06/21/2004			EXAMINER		
Howard M. Cohn			FISCHER, JUSTIN R		
c/o Ronald P. Yaist, Dept. 823 The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1144 East Market Street			1733		
Akron, OH 44316-0001			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/004,123	COLANTONIO ET AL. V				
Advicery Fleden	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin R Fischer	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-14 and 16-22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Art Unit: 1733

Continuation of 5: The amended claims (as proposed in the amendment dated May 5, 2004) introduce limitations that were not previously required and as such, would require further search and consideration. In particular, the proposed claims require that the chafer, rim flange protector, and sidewalls be constructed of "a single, unitary construction of a single elastomer". The previously drafted claims were completely silent as to the compositions of any of the above noted components and thus necessarily failed to require that the respective components be formed of "a single, unitary construction of a single elastomer". It is additionally noted that it is not completely clear if this limitation is intended to require the above noted components to be formed of the same rubber formulation. It is emphasized that the previously drafted claims only required the tire to include a chafer that extended axially inward of and around the bead- there was absolutely no mention of the composition of this component, let alone the composition of the rim flange protector and the sidewall, and as such, these limitations would require further search and consideration.

Justin Fischer

June 15, 2004